I. Background Information

- 1. Course assessed:
 - Course Discipline Code and Number: MTH 180 Course Title: Precalculus Division/Department Codes: MTHD
- 2. Semester assessment was conducted (check one):
 - 🗌 Fall 20___
 - \boxtimes Winter 2012
 - Spring/Summer 20____
- 3. Assessment tool(s) used: check all that apply.
 - Portfolio
 - Standardized test
 - Other external certification/licensure exam (specify):
 - Survey
 - Prompt
 - Departmental exam
 - Capstone experience (specify):
 - Other (specify):
- 4. Have these tools been used before?
 - Yes Yes
 - 🗌 No

If yes, have the tools been altered since its last administration? If so, briefly describe changes made. No changes.

- 5. Indicate the number of students assessed and the total number of students enrolled in the course. 48 students assessed (180 total)
- 6. If all students were not assessed, describe how students were selected for the assessment. (Include your sampling method and rationale.)
 8 tests were randomly selected from each of the 6 sections.

II. Results

- 1. Briefly describe the changes that were implemented in the course as a result of the previous assessment. None.
- 2. List each outcome that was assessed for this report exactly as it is stated on the course master syllabus. (You can copy and paste these from CurricUNET's WR report.)

Outcome 1: Solve, graph and perform operations of the conic sections.

Outcome 2: Solve and perform operations and problem representations with sequences, series and binomial expansions.

Outcome 3: Graph, transform identities, and solve problem representations of trigonometric functions.

- For each outcome that was assessed, indicate the standard of success exactly as it is stated on the course master syllabus. (You can copy and paste these from CurricUNET's WR report.)
 75% of the students should score 75% or higher on the questions for each outcome.
- 4. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected during the course assessment. Indicate the extent to which students are achieving each of the learning outcomes listed above and state whether the standard of success was met for each outcome. *In a separate document, include a summary of the data collected and any rubrics or scoring guides used for the assessment.*

Based on the assessment data results, 75% of the students received a score of 3 or 4 on each question representing each course outcome.

Approved by the Assessment Committee July 2011 logged 8 31 12 System

5. Describe the areas of strength and weakness in students' achievement of the learning outcomes shown in the assessment results. (This should be an interpretation of the assessment results described above and a thoughtful analysis of student performance.)

Strengths: Students met the course expectations.

Weaknesses: None

III. Changes influenced by assessment results

- 1. If weaknesses were found (see above) or students did not meet expectations, describe the action that will be taken to address these weaknesses. (If students met all expectations, describe your plan for continuous improvement.)
- 2. Identify intended changes that will be instituted based on results of this assessment activity (check all that apply). Please describe changes and give rationale for change.
 - a. Outcomes/Assessments on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
 - b. Objectives/Evaluation on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
 - c. Course pre-requisites on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
 - d. [1st Day Handouts Change/rationale:
 - e. Course assignments Change/rationale:
 - f. Course materials (check all that apply)
 - Handouts
 - g. Instructional methods Change/rationale:
 - h. Individual lessons & activities Change/rationale:
- 3. What is the timeline for implementing these actions?

IV. Future plans

- Describe the extent to which the assessment tools used were effective in measuring student achievement of learning outcomes for this course.
 The questions evaluated accurately measured students' achievements for each outcome.
- 2. If the assessment tools were not effective, describe the changes that will be made for future assessments.
- Which outcomes from the master syllabus have been addressed in this report?
 All X Selected If "All", provide the report date for the next full review: Winter 2015

If "Selected", provide the report date for remaining outcomes:

Submitted by:

Print:		Signature_	Jane Juelli	Date: 8/14/12
Print:_	Faculty/Preparer 445Hn Good Department Chair	Signature	Migt & Mont	Date: 8/72/12
Print:_	Martha Showelter Dean/Administrator	Signature <u></u>	Avur 5	Date: 8/27/12

Please return completed form to the Office of Curriculum & Assessment, SC 257. *Revised July 2011*

I. Background Information

1. Course assessed:

Course Discipline Code and Number: MTH 180 Course Title: Precalculus

Division/Department Codes:

2. Semester assessment was conducted (check one):

Fall 20

X X Winter 2008

Spring/Summer 20____

3. Assessment tool(s) used: check all that apply.

Portfolio

Standardized test

Other external certification/licensure exam (specify):

Survey

Prompt

X Departmental exam

Capstone experience (specify):

- Other (specify):
- 4. Have these tools been used before?
 - X Yes

If yes, have the tools been altered since its last administration? If so, briefly describe changes made. The questions were revised based on a committee's recommendations.

5. Indicate the number of students assessed/total number of students enrolled in the course.

6. Describe how students were selected for the assessment. randomly

II. Results

- 1. Briefly describe the changes that were implemented in the course as a result of the previous assessment. None
- 2. List each outcome that was assessed for this report exactly as it is stated on the course master syllabus. Solve and graph rational and higher order equations

Solve, graph, and perform operations and problem representations of exponential and logarithmic functions and conic sections

Solve and perform operations and problem representations with sequences, series, and binomial expansions Graph, work with identities, and perform operations and problem representations of trigonometric functions

3. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected during the course assessment, demonstrating the extent to which students are achieving each of the learning outcomes listed above. *Please attach a summary of the data collected*.

All of the outcomes had at least a 75% success rate.

- 4. For each outcome assessed, indicate the standard of success used, and the percentage of students who achieved that level of success. *Please attach the rubric/scoring guide used for the assessment*. 1st outcome- 100% of the students scored a 4
 - 2^{nd} outcome- 90% of the students scored either a 3 or 4, 10% scored a 2

 3^{rd} outcome- 90% of the students scored either a 3 or 4, 10% scored a 2

4th outcome- 100% of the students scored either a 4 or a 3

5. Describe the areas of strength and weakness in students' achievement of the learning outcomes shown in assessment results.

Strengths: Students met the course expectations

Weaknesses: None

III. Changes influenced by assessment results

- If weaknesses were found (see above) or students did not meet expectations, describe the action that will be taken to address these weaknesses.
 NA
- 2. Identify intended changes that will be instituted based on results of this assessment activity (check all that apply). Please describe changes and give rationale for change.

a. Outcomes/Assessments on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:

- b. Objectives/Evaluation on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
- c. Course pre-requisites on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
- d. [] 1st Day Handouts Change/rationale:
- e. Course assignments Change/rationale:
- f. Course materials (check all that apply)
 - Textbook
 Handouts
 Other:
- g. Instructional methods Change/rationale:
- h. Individual lessons & activities Change/rationale:
- 3. What is the timeline for implementing these actions?

IV. Future plans

1. Describe the extent to which the assessment tools used were effective in measuring student achievement of learning outcomes for this course.

The problems that students were asked to do demonstrated the students' levels of achievement and therefore the outcomes of the course.

2. If the assessment tools were not effective, describe the changes that will be made for future assessments.

3.	Which outcomes from the master syllabus have been addressed in this report?				
		Selected	7		
	If "All", provide the report date for the next full review: _		ť		

If "Selected", provide the report date for remaining outcomes:

1

Submitted by:

Print: Diane Turelli	Signature Quare Junelii	Date: 9-10-09
Faculty/Preparer Print: <u>WSth Gluats</u> Department Chair	Signature	Date: <u>9/10/09</u> SEP 2.9 2000
Print: <u>M. Showalter</u> Dean/Administrator	Signature M. Avuicila	Date: SEP 2 8 2009